

GT County WRS

WATER BUREAU INSPECTION/ EVALUATION REPORT FORM				
Designated Name of Facility:		Facility Location:		
Williamsburg Receiving & Storage		10190 Munro Road		
Cherry Blossom LLC		Williamsburg, MI 49690		
NPDES #		☐ Scheduled Inspection ☐ Unscheduled Inspection ☐ Complaint Response		
Name of On-site Representative (s)	: Title(s)		Pho	one No.(s)
Chris Hubbell	Owner,	, President	231	-264-5260
[ļ	
S=Satisfactory;		uated During Inspection al; U=Unsatisfactory; N	=Not	Evaluated
Permit Review	Flo	w Measurement		Industrial Pretreatment
Records/Reporting	Lab	ocratory		Compliance Schedules
Facility Site Review	Sar	mpling Protocol		Self Monitoring Program
Operations & Maintenance	Biosolids/Sludge Disposal Effluent/Receiving Waters			Effluent/Receiving Waters
Other:				
5	Summary o	of Findings/ Comments	3	
On-site 11/23/05. Drove around to determine if there were other distinguishing odors. There was a musty odor north of Gay Road and Elk Lake Road. The odor on this day from the Williamsburg Receiving and Storage/ Cherry Blossom LLC. was expected to be along Munro Road as the winds were blowing that way. Stopped at the plant to talk with Chris Hubbell. He informed me that Andy Smits of Inland Seas Engineering had staff on site on Tuesday 11/22/05 for most of the day and Wednesday 11/23/05 in the moming. I wanted to see if they were excavating or putting any sample wells in. We were parked on Angell Road looking into the wetlands and were unable to see any activity. Chris mentioned that they were over in the wetlands area and the crew was checking conductivity.				
		Copy	to	J. Hever Gwfile V
☐ Compliant	Action Ta	ken:		
Noncompliant Noncompliant				•
Date:	Signature	of Inspector:		
11/28/05	Sy Paulik			

WATER BUREAU INSPECTION/ EVALUATION REPORT FORM				
Designated Name of Facility: Williamsburg Receiving & Storage Cherry Blossom LLC		Facility Location: 10190 Munro Road Williamsburg, MI 49690		
NPDES #		☐ Scheduled Inspection ☐ Unscheduled Inspection ☐ Complaint Response ☐ Complaint Response		
Name of On-site Representative (s):			Phone No.(s)	
Chris Hubbeil	Owner, President		231	-264-5260
Areas Evaluated During Inspection				
S=Satisfactory; M	l=Margin	nal; U=Unsatisfactory; N=	Not	Evaluated
Permit Review	Flo	w Measurement		Industrial Pretreatment
Records/Reporting Laborate		poratory	l	Compliance Schedules
		mpling Protocol		Self Monitoring Program
Operations & Maintenance				
Other:				
Summary of Findings/ Comments				

On site 11/16/05. Drove around the facility from Elk Lake Road to Gay Road to Munro Road to Angell Road. There was a strong odor on Elk Lake Road. I drove by the wetlands. Noticed there was some activity since my last visit on the 10th. I went to the plant and spoke with Chris Hubbell. Issues discussed were my concern of the spill and the urgency in conducting a comprehensive clean-up. I recommended Chris contact a firm who was familiar with brine clean up to assist him with the clean up. I told him to call Northern A-1 to ask them who they know or other environmental clean-up firms like Gourdie Frasier or Gosling Czubak. I also told him to interview them well and get them in do the clean-up as soon as possible to avoid further contamination. I told Chris my concern that the plume of contamination reach any drinking water wells. I explained that he had a choice regarding the clean-up whether he would like to do it with his own contractors or the Department could do the clean up which may end up costing him more in the long run. We talked about the including a hydrogeology of the recent spill. Chris asked about the previous Hydro work. I explained that we have made requests for additional information. I explained that the hydro would be Chris's responsibility to the Department that the site has been cleaned properly and the plume of contamination did not get way form them. Chris asked about background information verses the spill plume and was concerned about pollutants not caused by him. I explained that it was possible to determine background and contaminated. We discussed our working relationship and the events that have taken us to this point. Chris claimed we got off to a bad start and feels we didn't provide assistance. I reminded him of times where I have volunteered to go over his NPDES permit, and volunteered Janice go over his Ground Water Permit and also gave him a brochure on the Retap program. I informed Chris that the information in our files speaks for itself and the Department goal is not to put people out of business. The Department does not commonly have consent orders and enforcement consumes much more staff time than compliance inspections. Drove around the facility with Chris. The lagoon was full Chris was advised by Andy Smits of Inland Seas Engineering that the patch on the lagoon should have more dirt in the repaired area. The lagoon top was ripped and some waste water was visible. When I mentioned an odor on Elk Lake Road. Chris mentioned that it may be chicken manure from a neighboring farm. Chris described that work had been done in the wetlands the afternoon of November 10th A trench was dug to collect as much of the remaining wastewater, then pumped back to the facility. I recommend Chris look at facilities that were able to take his waste. I mentioned that Mr. LaCross has a deep disposal well and the city of Muskegon was looking for high strength BOD waste. Chris then asked if I meant that as a long term solution. I clarified myself to make it clear that I was concerned about the clean up and was not reviewing on his permit responsibilities. Upon my departure. Chris claims he was going to call clean-up contractors right away and would let me know.

☐ Compliant	Action Taken:	4
Date:	Signature of Inspector:	
11/22/05	Sy Paulik	
Finishing touches on 11/28/05		

WATER DIVISION INSPECTION/EVALUATION REPORT					
NPDES/Permit No.	☑ Industrial Facility ☐ Municipal Facility	Resident Complair	tial nt Response	Date: 11/10/2005 District: Cadillac	
	Location of Facility: ving & Storage Or Cherry 690	Blossom	Inspection: Sched	Type: luled	
Name of on-site rep Sy Paulik	resentative(s):	Title(s): EQA		Phone No.(s): 231-775-3960 x 6267	
Areas evaluated during inspection S=Satisfactory, M=Marginal, U=Unsatisfactory, N=Not Evaluated					
Permit ReviewRecords/ReportinFacility Site RevieOperations & MaiOther:	gLaboratory wSampling P	Flow Measurement		Industrial PretreatmentCompliance SchedulesSelf-Monitoring ProgramEffluent/Receiving Waters	
Summary of Findings/Comments					

On-site. Met with Chris Hubbell. Toured the area. He had removed the wastewater from the paved parking area at the plant. There were still several sites where there were pools of wastewater. Just Northeast of the migrant quarters, in storm water basins to the north of the buildings and to the east of the building, in the main storm water basin, in the ditch along Munro Road, and in the wetlands south of Angell Road. There was a pipe pumping waste water from storm water basins back into the lagoon. There was fresh dirt in the area where there was a breach in the wastewater lagoon. There was a leak of one on the connecting pipes were wastewater was leaking out. I informed Mr. Hubbell about the integrity of the breached area and that it would most likely remain a weak area. He asked about putting in a retaining wall. I informed him that I was not able to advise him on what measures he took to fix the breached area. I explained the need for quickly removing the wastewater because of the leaching in various areas of his facility and off site contamination and not exceeding the Part 201 standards. He asked what that was. I explained they were set criteria for pollutants and that the sooner he got the wastewater off the ground the better because if it soaks in it could cause the site to exceed 201 standards. I also informed him that this spill could affect his current hydro and he may need to update the hydro. I took samples which I split with him of the wastewater in the wetlands south of Angell Road for BOD and CI- in a puddle of wastewater from the lagoon breach. I informed him of the two parameters that would be analyzed to be aware of the holding time. I also explained to him that off site is defined as his immediate facility. I informed him that he should clean up the wastewater in the wetlands also which he had not done. He asked if he should remove the soils in the wetland areas as part of the clean up. I explained that I could not advise him, how to do the clean-up and told him to get it hauled away. The main storm water behind the hydrosieve building has about 3 feet of head. It had the same color as the process water and Mr. Hubbell agrees that it held the lagoon wastewater. There were also strong odors along Munro Road as I was following the path of the wastewater. I also told Mr. Hubbell that he should remove the wastewater because it was considered liquid industrial waste through a waste hauler. Plugged up north pipe. Evidence of high flow (vegetation lying down) in the ditch along Munro Road all the way down to Angell Road. I mentioned the storm water permit had expired. Janet Hubbell joined the conversation and thought it was for the discharge of storm water to the north pipe. Chris explained to her that was not what the permit was for and understands that it has expired, he informed me they were working with Mindy Walters of Inland Seas Engineering to get their permit. I informed the Hubbells they needed to submit the spill incident and actions they have taken to clean up the spill in writing. Mr. Hubbell asked how this would affect our response to issues that have been going on at his facility. Mr. Hubbell claims he's meeting with Joe Quandt and Andy Smits 11/11/2005

	to the DEQ. Mr. Hubbell had moved dirt around in a few locations. a lagoon and at the storm water basin where the north pipe would oad.
Compliant	Action Taken: Recommended clean up and getting wastewater hauled
Noncompliant	away. Mr. Hubbell claims he does not have the money for it. I didn't know any other alternative.
Additional Comments:	Date of Inspection Transmittal: 11/14/05
Signature of Inspector:	Date:

Inspection Report/Revised 3/03

WRS. IN# 2224

WATER DIVISION INSPECTION/EVALUATION REPORT '		
NPDES/Permit No. Industrial Facility	Residential Date: 9/3/03	
MI 204474	Complaint Response District: Cadillac	
Designated Name & Location of Facility:	Frage Inspection: Type:	
	Scheduled CSI Unscheduled CEI	
William burg Poll	Recon	
1/2: 1/ 1/1	e(s): Phone No.(s):	
17000 11000 100	iner/Resident 23/	
	ted during inspection U=Unsatisfactory, N=Not Evaluated	
Permit ReviewFlow Measurem	ent Industrial Pretreatment	
Records/Reporting Laboratory ### Facility Site Review Sampling Protocol	Compliance Schedules Self-Monitoring Program	
Operations & MaintBiosolids/Sludge		
_Other:		
Summary of	Findings/Comments	
Dalked the Sacility (sutside) retrieved the site for Lagron.	
Hormwater and Caldo clocked	d @ the lagron.	
	- 0	
	•	
	Action Taken:	
Compliant	Action raken.	
Noncompliant		
Additional Comments:	Date of Inspection Transmittal:	
per consent order	9/19/03	
Signature of Inspector:	Date:	
Vis / Paulik	1 7/44/03	

Inspection Report/Revised 3/03